

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/708,717		03/19/2004	Fred H. Holmes	Omni Voltage	2716
33036	7590	07/13/2005		EXAMINER	
KEN FISH			PATEL, RAJNIKANT B		
5521 CLEON AVE. NORTH HOLLYWOOD, CA 91601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2838	
				DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/708,717	HOLMES ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Rajnikant B. Patel	2838						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status		·						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 M	<u>arch 2004</u> .							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.							
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>								
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/19/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	·						

Application/Control Number: 10/708,717

Art Unit: 2838

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bockle et al. (U.S. Patent # 6,826,059 B2) in combination with Kawakami (U.S. patent # 4,618,812) and Shirai (U.S. patent # 5,598,068).

  Bockle et al. disclose the claimed invention a battery operated LED lighting apparatus (figure 1-2), including a light emitting diodes (figure 1, item D1 and D2 and column 4, line 50-55). However Bockle et al. does not disclose the utilization of the technique for a battery outputting battery voltage. Kawakami teaches the similar technique for a battery outputting battery voltage and boost regulating circuit (figure 1 and column 1, line 50-55). It would have been obvious one having an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention made to modify Bockle et al.'s LED drive circuit by utilizing the technique taught by Kawakami for the purpose increasing conversion efficiency.

In regards to claims 2-9, Bockle et al. in combination with Kawakami and Shirai disclose the claimed subject matters such as "light emitting diode comprises a plurality of group, LED connected in series, the ballasting device is a resistor (Shirai's figure 3), a buck or step-down voltage (Kawakami's figure 1), constant current (Bockle et al.' s column 2, line 40-45).

Art Unit: 2838

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rajnikant B. Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-2082. The examiner can normally be reached on 6.30-5.00; m-f.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Sherry can be reached on 571-272-2084. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rajnikant B Patel Primary Examiner Art Unit 2838

\*\*\*